1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney						
2	EUMI CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Acting Chief, Criminal Division						
4	EDWARD TORPOCO (CSBN 200653) Assistant United States Attorney						
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055						
6 7	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7071 FAX: (415) 436-7234						
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION						
11							
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No.: CR 3-05-70522					
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]					
14	V.	ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION					
15	JING SHAN ZHOU,) AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM) <u>SPEEDY TRIAL CALCULATION</u>					
16	Defendant.))					
17))					
18)					
19	With the agreement of the parties, the Court enters this Order vacating the preliminary						
20	hearing on September 22, 2005, setting a new preliminary hearing on October 12, 2005,						
21	documenting Defendant's waiver of the preliminary hearing date until October 12, 2005 under						
22	Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, and excluding the time period from September 22, 2005						
23	through October 12, 2005 under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.						
24	The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:						
25	1. The Court has set a preliminary hearing for September 22, 2005 at 9:30 a.m.						
26	Undersigned defense counsel have received approximately 500 pages of initial discovery in this						
27	case and require time to obtain and review additional discovery that is forthcoming from the						

United States. Defense counsel are located in Southern California and are next available to travel

STIPULATION AND ORDER CR 3-05-70522

28

2. Given the foregoing facts, the parties request and agree that there is good cause for the preliminary hearing to be reset for October 12, 2005, at 9:30 a.m. The parties stipulate that the time limit for the filing of an information or indictment shall be extended under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). The parties further stipulate that the time period from September 22, 2005 through October 12, 2005 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

to this District on October 12, 2005 due to scheduling conflicts.

3. Given defense counsel's unavailability until October12, 2005 and the need for additional time to review discovery and meet with the Defendant, the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the Defendant continuity of counsel as well as effective preparation of counsel taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). In addition, the ends of justice would be served by the Court excluding the proposed time period; these ends outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. See id. § 3161(h)(8)(A), (B)(iv).

SO STIPULATED.

DATED:		
***	 	 _

ROBERT HARTMANN
STANLEY L. FRIEDMAN
Attorneys for Defendant link SI

Attorneys for Defendant Jinh Shan Zhou

DATED: **9**/20/05

Assistant United States Attorney

SEP-20-2005 16:13

P.03

to this District on October 12, 2005 due to scheduling conflicts.

- 2. Given the foregoing facts, the parties request and agree that there is good cause for the preliminary hearing to be reset for October 12, 2005, at 9:30 a.m. The parties stipulate that the time limit for the filing of an information or indictment shall be extended under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). The parties further stipulate that the time period from September 22, 2005 through October 12, 2005 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).
- 3. Given defense counsel's unavailability until October 12, 2005 and the need for additional time to review discovery and meet with the Defendant, the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the Defendant continuity of counsel as well as effective preparation of counsel taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). In addition, the ends of justice would be served by the Court excluding the proposed time period; these ends outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. See id. § 3161(h)(8)(A), (B)(iv).

SO STIPULATED.

DATED: \$120/04

OBERT HARTMANN RU

STANLEY L. FRIEDMAN Attorneys for Defendant Jinh Shan Zhou

DATED: 7/20/05

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATION AND ORDER CR 3-05-70522

In light of the foregoing facts, and with the consent of the parties, the Court hereby (1) vacates the preliminary hearing on September 22, 2005, (2) sets a new preliminary hearing date of October 12, 2005, at 9:30 a.m., (3) finds that the Defendant has waived a preliminary hearing until October 12, 2005 under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, and (4) orders that the period from September 22, 2005 through October 12, 2005 be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculation under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:_	9	21	לס	
		7		

HON. JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge

STIPULATION AND ORDER (CR 3-04-30439)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. JING SHAN ZHOU

CR 3-05-70522

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee of the Office of the United States Attorney for the Northern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. The undersigned further certifies that she caused a copy of:

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION WAIVING DETENTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM SPEEDY TRIAL CALCULATION

to be served this date on the party(ies) in this action,

Via Facsimile to: Robert Hartmann (714) 543-8155

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the

foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: September 21, 2005

Mae/Chw, Legal Assistant to AUSA EDWARD TORPOCO